

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,985	642,985 08/18/2003		Ritter Tsai	CFP-2093 (15722-565)	5666
23595	7590	03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
		EREAU, P.A.	HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	OLIS, MN	55402		3637	
				DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^ .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,985	TSAI, RITTER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James O. Hansen	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	—· is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matter		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/out. 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application of the control of	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	 □	(DTO 140)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/642,985 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In Claim 4, the phrase "wherein the first reinforcement device includes" is unclear and incomplete as presently recited. In Claim 5, the phrase "fins each extending from one of the edges thereof in perpendicular" is unclear and confusing as presently worded. In Claim 6, the phrase "the first reinforcement" does not have a proper antecedent basis. Consequently, the remaining claims are rejected because they are dependent upon an indefinite claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 & 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor et al., [U.S. Patent No. 4,836,626]. Taylor (figures 1-25) teaches of a combinative locker (fig. 10) including: a plurality of peripheral panels (13 for example) connected with one another, each of the peripheral panels including an internal side, a rear edge, a front edge, a first reinforcement device (48 for example) formed on the internal side at the rear edge and a second reinforcement device (58 for example)

Art Unit: 3637

formed on the internal side at the front edge; a rear panel (12) including a plurality of edges each connected with the rear edge of one of the peripheral panels; and a front panel (18) including a plurality of edges one of which is pivotally connected with the front edge of one of the peripheral panels via member (73) for example, wherein the first reinforcement device includes a retroflexed strip (see fig. 4) extending from the rear edge of each of the peripheral panels, wherein the retroflexed strip substantially extends in parallel to each of the peripheral panels (see fig. 4), wherein the rear panel includes four fins (23, 24, 25, 26) each extending perpendicularly from one of the edges, wherein the first reinforcement device of each of the peripheral panels is in contact with one of the fins of the rear panel (see fig. 4), wherein the second reinforcement device includes a retroflexed strip (inwardly bent portion) extending from the front edge of each of the peripheral panels, wherein the retroflexed strip extends substantially parallel (viewed as extending forward of and parallel to each panel) to each of the peripheral panels.

5. Claims 1-8 & 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Insalaco et al., [U.S. Publication No. 2002/0043905]. Insalaco (figures 1-26) teaches of a combinative locker (fig. 1) including: a plurality of peripheral panels (42 for example) connected with one another, each of the peripheral panels including an internal side, a rear edge, a front edge, a first reinforcement device (46 for example) formed on the internal side at the rear edge and a second reinforcement device (48 for example) formed on the internal side at the front edge; a rear panel (50) including a plurality of edges each connected with the rear edge of one of the peripheral panels; and a front panel (80) including a plurality of edges one of which is pivotally connected with the front edge of one of the peripheral panels, wherein the first reinforcement

Art Unit: 3637

device includes a retroflexed strip (see fig. 4) extending from the rear edge of each of the peripheral panels, wherein the retroflexed strip substantially extends in parallel to each of the peripheral panels (parallel to the main portion of the panel as depicted in fig. 4), wherein the rear panel includes four fins (62 for example) each extending perpendicularly from one of the edges, wherein the first reinforcement device of each of the peripheral panels is in contact with one of the fins of the rear panel (note fig. 2), wherein the second reinforcement device includes a retroflexed strip (see fig. 4) extending from the front edge of each of the peripheral panels, wherein the retroflexed strip extends substantially parallel (parallel to the main portion of the panel as depicted in fig. 4) to each of the peripheral panels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Insalaco et al., in view of French publication 2,636,921 [known hereafter as FR`921]. Insalaco teaches applicant's inventive claimed structure as disclosed above including the second reinforcement device including a corrugated member (fig. 7) defining a space for receiving a connector (110), but does not show the connector as being "L-shaped". FR`921 (figures 1-5) is cited as an evidence reference to show that it was known in the art to utilize an "L-shaped" connector (elongated "L" member (4)) for connecting adjacent panels together. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Insalaco so as to incorporate an elongated "L" shaped connector as taught by FR`921 because this arrangement would enhance the disassembly process since the connector would be easily grasped and removed, thereby facilitating a timely disconnect between the panels.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Pending further review and consideration, Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sayer, Shear, and Moore et al., describe locker structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 703-305-7414. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,985 Page 6

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James O. Hansen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

JOH March 4, 2005